arXiv:nlin/0004015v4 [nlin.SI] 5 Sep 2000

Toroidal Lie algebras and Bogoyavlensky's $2+1$-dimensional equation

Takeshi Ikeda
Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science
Ridaicho 1-1, Okayama 700-0005, Japan
E-mail: ike@xmath.ous.ac.jp
Kanehisa Takasaki
Department of Fundamental Sciences, Kyoto University
Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
E-mail: takasaki@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We introduce an extension of the ℓ-reduced KP hierarchy, which we call the ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy. Bogoyavlensky's $2+1$-dimensional extension of the KdV equation is the lowest equation of the hierarchy in case of $\ell = 2$. We present a group-theoretic characterization of this hierarchy on the basis of the 2-toroidal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell^{\mathrm{tor}}$. This reproduces essentially the same Hirota bilinear equations as those recently introduced by Billig and Iohara et al. We can further derive these Hirota bilinear equation from a Lax formalism of the hierarchy. This Lax formalism also enables us to construct a family of special solutions that generalize the breaking soliton solutions of Bogoyavlensky. These solutions contain the N-soliton solutions, which are usually constructed by use of vertex operators.

1 Introduction

In the early 1980s, Date et al. [7] discovered the remarkable fact that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ acts on the solutions of the KP(Kadomtsev-Petviashvili) hierarchy. The link between infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and soliton equations has been widely extended. In particular, Kac and Wakimoto [17] developed a scheme to construct hierarchies from vertex operator representations of general affine Lie algebras. On these backgrounds, Billig [2] and Iohara et al. [14] derived new hierarchies of Hirota bilinear equations from 2-toroidal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$, a central extension of double loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[s^{\pm1}, t^{\pm1}]$ of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Applying the construction to the case $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}} = \mathfrak{sl}_\ell^{\mathrm{tor}}$ (and their principal vertex realization), we have an extension of the ℓ-reduced KP hierarchy of Hirota bilinear form.

For the simplicity of exposition, we here deal with the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. The typical Hirota bilinear equations of lower degrees in hierarchy of [2],[14] are the following

$$\left(D_x^4 - 4D_xD_t\right)\tau \cdot \tau = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\left(D_yD_x^3 + 2D_yD_t - 6D_zD_x\right)\tau \cdot \tau = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

If we count the degrees of Hirota's D-operators D_y, D_x, D_z, D_t as $0, 1, 2, 3$ respectively, the Hirota bilinear equations above have degrees 4 and 3 respectively. The first one (1.1) is a famous bilinear form of the KdV equation $4u_t = 6uu_x + u_{xxx}$, where $u \overset{\text{def}}{=} 2(\log \tau)_{xx}$. The second one (1.2) can be written as a non-linear equation known as Bogoyavlensky's $2+1$-dimensional equation [4]

$$u_z = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxy} + vu_x + uu_y, \tag{1.3}$$

where we set $v \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\log \tau)_{xy}$ and used the KdV equation to eliminate the terms including derivative ∂_t. Equation (1.3) has the following equivalent Lax form

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = [P\partial_y + C, P] \quad \text{where } P \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_x^2 + u,\ C \overset{\text{def}}{=} v\partial_x + \frac{3}{4}u_y. \tag{1.4}$$

The main purpose of this paper, motivated by the fact above, is to establish a Lax formalism of the Hirota bilinear equations arising from $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell^{\text{tor}}$ for any $\ell \geq 2$. For this purpose, we shall introduce the *ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy*. We shall also construct a family of special solutions that generalize the *breaking solitons* studied by Bogoyavlensky.

We shall develop our discussions as follows. In section 2, we discuss the hierarchy on a viewpoint of representation theory. First we give definition of the Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{tor}}$, and then explain a general result on their representations(Lemma 1). Using this lemma, we construct a representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_\ell}^{\text{tor}}$, based on the ℓ-reduction procedure of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ in [7]. We shall show that every vector τ in the $\text{SL}_\ell^{\text{tor}}$-orbit of the *vacuum vector* satisfies a hierarchy of Hirota bilinear equations, which is essentially the same as those derived in [2],[14], although the generating functions are apparently different. In section 3, we first give a review on the Lax formalism of the KP hierarchy. Next we present a heuristic introduction to Bogoyavlensky's hierarchy. These arguments lead us to formulate the *ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy* for each $\ell \geq 2$, where the so-called Wilson-Sato operator plays the significant role. In section 4, we give a residue formula for the formal Baker-Akhiezer functions of the hierarchy by the calculus of pseudo-differential operators. The formula leads to exactly the same system of Hirota bilinear equations derived in section 2. Note that the most part of sections 3,4 can be read independently from the preceding section. Section 5 is devoted to investigate the special solutions of the hierarchy, namely the Wronskian solutions and the N-soliton solutions. As an appendix, we give a table of Hirota equations of low degree in section 7.

It should be mentioned that the Bogoyavlensky's equation is a dimensional reduction, to $2+1$ dimensions, of the four dimensional self-dual Yang-Mills equation. Mason and Sparling [23] pointed out that many integrable systems in $2+1$ and lower dimensions (in particular, $1+1$ dimensional soliton equations such as the KdV equation) can be thus derived from the self-dual Yang-Mills equation. In fact, Schiff [27] rediscovered Bogoyavlensky's equation, having been unaware of Bogoyavlensky's work. Schiff's work was further extended by Yu et al. [30, 31].

Throughout the paper, the base field is the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. The symbol $\mathbb{Z}$ stands for the set of integers. The binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ is defined as $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)/k!$ for $k \geq 0$. For a vector space V, we denote by $V[z^{\pm 1}]$, $V[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]$ the spaces $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$, $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials with the coefficients in V respectively. For an integer $\ell \geq 2$, we denote by $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell$ (resp. $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell$) the Lie algebras of the all (resp. traceless) $\ell \times \ell$ matrices.

Note added: After the first version of this paper had written, we learned that F. Calogero [5] had studied equation (1.3) in 1975. We thank Kouichi Toda and his collaborators for the information.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Deriving hierarchy from representation theory 4
- 2.1 Definitions of the toroidal Lie algebra 4
- 2.2 A Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ 5
- 2.3 Review on a representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ and its ℓ-reduction 6
- 2.4 Equation for the $\mathrm{SL}_\ell^{\mathrm{tor}}$-orbit of the vacuum 9
- 2.5 Bosonization 10
- 2.6 Hirota bilinear equation arising from toroidal Lie algebras 11

3 Lax formalism of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy 13
- 3.1 Formal pseudo-differential operators 13
- 3.2 KP hierarchy and its ℓ-reductions 14
- 3.3 Heuristic Introduction of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy 17
- 3.4 Lax equations of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy 19
- 3.5 Formulation of ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy 20
- 3.6 Explicit forms of operators A_n 24

4 Reproducing bilinear equations in Lax formalism 25
- 4.1 Dual formal Baker-Akhiezer function 25
- 4.2 Residue formula of product of PsDO's 26
- 4.3 Bilinearization of linear equations 27
- 4.4 τ function and Hirota bilinear equations 30

5 Special solutions of hierarchy 31
- 5.1 Construction of the solutions of the Wronskian type 31
- 5.2 N-soliton solutions 34

6 Conclusion 36

7 Appendix 36

2 Deriving hierarchy from representation theory

2.1 Definitions of the toroidal Lie algebra

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let R be the ring of Laurent polynomials of two variables $\mathbb{C}[s^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. The module of Kähler differentials Ω_R of R is defined with the canonical derivation $d : R \to \Omega_R$. As an R-module, Ω_R is freely generated by ds and dt. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \Omega_R \to \Omega_R/dR$ be the canonical projection. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote Ω_R/dR. Let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the normalized Killing form ([18]) on $\mathfrak{g}$. We define the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathfrak{g} \otimes R \oplus \mathcal{K}$ by

$$[X \otimes f, Y \otimes g] = [X, Y] \otimes fg + (X|Y)\overline{(df)g}, \quad [\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}] = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

In the paper [20], Kassel proved that the bracket defines a *universal central extension* of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, for a large class of commutative algebra R. One can find in [24] a simpler proof (a natural extension of Wilson's discussions [32]) of the Kassel's result that works for the cases when the ground field of R has characteristic 0.

Let $\mathcal{D} = R\partial_{\log s} \oplus R\partial_{\log t}$ be the Lie algebra of derivations on R. A derivation $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ can be naturally extended to a derivation on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ by

$$\delta\,(X \otimes f) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} X \otimes \delta f. \tag{2.2}$$

It is known that a derivation $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ acting on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ has a natural extension to $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$. The action on the center $\mathcal{K}$ is given explicitly as follows [13]. First we shall define the action of $\mathcal{D}$ on Ω_R by:

$$f\partial_{\log u}\,(g d\log v) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (f\partial_{\log u} g)\, d\log v + \delta_{u,v}\, g df \quad \text{for } u, v = s, t. \tag{2.3}$$

The action preserves the exact forms dR, namely we have $f\partial_{\log u}(dg) = d\,(f\partial_{\log u} g)$ for $u = s, t$, and so induces an action, also denoted by the same notation, on $\mathcal{K} = \Omega_R/dR$.

Let $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the Lie subalgebra $R\partial_{\log t}$ of $\mathcal{D}$. We shall add the derivations $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ to get the Lie algebra

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{tor}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}} \oplus \check{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Here the bracket in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ is given by (2.1). We define the bracket between $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ by the action (2.2), and (2.3), $[\delta, a] = \delta(a)$ ($\delta \in \check{\mathcal{D}},\ a \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$). The bracket in $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined by

$$[f\partial_{\log t}, g\partial_{\log t}] = \left(f(\partial_{\log t} g) - g(\partial_{\log t} f)\right)\partial_{\log t} - \overline{(\partial_{\log t} g) d(\partial_{\log t} f)}.$$

Note that if $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ in the above formula, then it is equivalent to the relation of the Virasoro algebra. Remark that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ is *not* the semidirect product of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ with the action of $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ by (2.2),(2.3).

We have, for $u = s, t$, the Lie subalgebras

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[u^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\,\overline{d\log u},$$

with the brackets given by

$$[Xu^m, Yu^n] = u^{m+n}[X, Y] + m\delta_{m+n,0}(X|Y)\,K_u,$$

which are isomorphic to the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the canonical central element $K_u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{d\log u}$.

We prepare the generating series of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{tor}}$ as follows:

$$A_m(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} As^n t^m \cdot z^{-n-1}, \quad D^t_m(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} s^n t^m \partial_{\log t} \cdot z^{-n-1},$$
$$K^s_m(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{s^n t^m d\log s} \cdot z^{-n}, \quad K^t_m(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{s^n t^m d\log t} \cdot z^{-n-1},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. The relation $\overline{d(s^n t^m)} = 0$ can be neatly expressed by these generating series as

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} K^s_m(z) + mK^t_m(z) = 0.$$

2.2 A Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{tor}}$

The Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{tor}}$ has a Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}$ with basis $\{s^n\partial_{\log t}, \overline{s^n d\log t}, d\log s\ (n \in \mathbb{Z})\}$. In fact, if we set $\phi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{s^n d\log t}$, $\phi^*_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s^n\partial_{\log t}$, and $\hbar \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{d\log s}$, then we have

$$[\phi^*_m, \phi_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}\hbar, \quad [\phi_m, \phi_n] = [\phi^*_m, \phi^*_n] = [\hbar, \mathfrak{d}\,] = 0.$$

The Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ is degenerate in the sense that $[\phi^*_0, \phi_0] = 0$. We also note that $\mathfrak{d}$ commute with $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$.

Next we realize the action of $\mathfrak{d}$ on the space of polynomials

$$F_{\mathfrak{d}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[y_\ell, y_{2\ell}, y_{3\ell}, \dots; y^*_\ell, y^*_{2\ell}, y^*_{3\ell}, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{C}[e^{y_0}, e^{-y_0}], \quad \mathbf{vac}_{\mathfrak{d}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 \in F_{\mathfrak{d}}$$

where the action of $\mathfrak{d}$ is defined by:

$$\phi_m \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^*_{m\ell}} & m > 0 \\ -my_{-m\ell} & m \le 0 \end{cases}, \quad \phi^*_m \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{m\ell}} & m \ge 0 \\ -my^*_{-m\ell} & m < 0 \end{cases}, \quad \hbar = \text{id}.$$

For convenience' sake, we adopted rather peculiar notation for the variables $y_{n\ell}$ etc.

We define the generating series

$$\phi(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi_n z^{-n-1}, \quad \phi^*(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi^*_n z^{-n-1},$$

and for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following *vertex operators*

$$V_m(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \exp\left(m \sum_{n>0} y_{n\ell} z^n\right) e^{my_0} \exp\left(-m \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^*_{n\ell}} z^{-n}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

We also introduce the normal product $:\phi(z)V_m(z): \overset{\text{def}}{=} \phi(z)_{<0} V_m(z) + V_m(z)\phi(z)_{>0}$ where $\phi(z)_{<0} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n<0} \phi_n z^{-n-1}$ and $\phi(z)_{>0} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n>0} \phi_n z^{-n-1}$.

We shall say a representation V of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$ is *restricted* if and only if for all $v \in V$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists N such that $Xs^n \cdot v = 0$ for $\forall n > N$. Now we can state the following lemma due to Iohara et al. [14] and Berman-Billig [3].

Lemma 1 *Let (V, π) be a restricted representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_s$ such that $\overline{d \log s} \mapsto \mathrm{id}_V$. Then we can define the representation π^{tor} of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ on $V \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(z) &\mapsto A^\pi(z) V_m(z), & K^s_m(z) &\mapsto V_m(z), \\ D^t_m(z) &\mapsto \phi^*(z) V_m(z), & K^t_m(z) &\mapsto :\phi(z)V_m(z):, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A^\pi(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \pi(As^n) z^{-n-1}$.

2.3 Review on a representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ and its ℓ-reduction

In the subsection, we collect basic results on representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ which is used in the sequel. One can find in the original paper [7] the proofs we omit. We shall mainly follow the notation used in book [16].

Let the associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ be generated by $\psi_i, \psi^*_i (i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ with the relations:

$$\psi_i\psi_j + \psi_j\psi_i = \psi^*_i\psi^*_j + \psi^*_j\psi^*_i = 0, \quad \psi^*_i\psi_j + \psi_j\psi^*_i = \delta_{i+j,0}.$$

Consider a left $\mathcal{A}$-module with a cyclic vector $\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfying

$$\psi_i \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} = \psi^*_i \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

This $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called the fermionic Fock space, which we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We define the following infinite formal Laurent series of the variable λ

$$\psi(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_i \lambda^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \psi^*(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi^*_i \lambda^{-i-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the normal ordering of the following quadratic expression to be

$$:\psi_i\psi^*_j: \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \psi_i\psi^*_j & \text{if} \quad i < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad j > 0 \\ -\psi^*_j\psi_i & \text{if} \quad i > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad j < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Consider the following set of infinite complex matrices

$$\left\{ A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \;\middle|\; a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } |i-j| \gg 0 \right\}.$$

It forms a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with the usual bracket $[A,B]_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} AB - BA$, which we shall denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(\infty)$. We shall define the 2-cocycle ω on $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(\infty)$ by :

$$\omega(E_{ij}, E_{i'j'}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \delta_{ji'}\delta_{ij'}(\theta(j) - \theta(i))$$

where $E_{ij} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'})_{i',j'\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}$ is the matrix unit and θ is defined by $\theta(i) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1$ if $i > 0$ and $\theta(i) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 0$ if $i < 0$. Let us introduce the central extension $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(\infty) \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ with the central element c and the bracket:

$$[A,B] \overset{\text{def}}{=} [A,B]_0 + \omega(A,B)c, \quad A, B \in \overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(\infty).$$

Lemma 2 *[7] The map* $E_{ij} \mapsto :\psi_{-i}\psi_j^* :, c \mapsto \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}}$ *defines the representation* π_∞ *of* $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ *on the fermionic Fock space* $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}$.

In terms of the generating series defined by

$$E(\lambda,\mu) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} E_{ij}\lambda^{i-\frac{1}{2}}\mu^{-j-\frac{1}{2}},$$

the lemma has the equivalent expression

$$E(\lambda,\mu) \mapsto :\psi(\lambda)\psi^*(\mu): \ .$$

Fix an integer $\ell \geq 2$. Let A be a matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$. We assume the condition:

$$a_{i,j} = a_{i+\ell,j+\ell} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} + \tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then we introduce, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $\ell \times \ell$ complex matrix by

$$A_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(a_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2}+n\ell}\right)_{0\leq i,j\leq \ell-1}$$

and define an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of Laurent *polynomial* of the variable s

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n s^n.$$

Conversely, let $\sum_n A_n s^n$ be a Laurent polynomial in $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell[s^{\pm 1}]$. Then we associate the ℓ-periodic infinite matrix in the following block form

$$\sum_n A_n s^n \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \ddots \\ \ddots & A_{-1} & A_0 & A_1 & \ddots \\ \ddots & A_{-2} & A_{-1} & A_0 & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(\infty).$$

Let us denote by ι_ℓ the above map, which is clearly injective. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_\ell$ and consider Xs^m, Ys^n $(m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ as elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$. Then the commutation relation is given by

$$[Xs^m, Ys^n] = [X, Y]s^{m+n} + m\delta_{m+n,0}\mathrm{tr}(XY)c.$$

So, in particular, if we extend ι_ℓ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell = \mathfrak{sl}_\ell[s^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_s$ by $\iota_\ell(K_s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} c$, then it gives the embedding ι_ℓ of Lie algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ into $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$.

We shall describe a base of $\iota_\ell(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell) \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ for the later use.

Definition 1 *For each ℓ-th root of unity $\zeta \neq 1$, we define $E_n^\zeta \in \mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ by the following series*

$$E(\lambda, \zeta\lambda) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_n^\zeta \lambda^{-n-1}.$$

It is easy to see that E_n^ζ satisfies ℓ-periodic condition (2.6). If we shall regard E_n^ζ as an element of $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell[s^{\pm 1}]$, then E_n^ζ is traceless. Note that E_n^ζ is homogeneous of the principal degree n, here by the principal degree of the matrix $s^n e_{ij}$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell$ we mean $j - i + n\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1 For $\ell = 2,\ \zeta = -1$, we have

$$E_{2i+1}^\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s^i \\ -s^{i+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{2i}^\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} -s^i & 0 \\ 0 & s^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

If we define $\Lambda_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} E_{i,i+n} (n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$, then we have the following Heisenberg relations

$$[\Lambda_m, \Lambda_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}c.$$

Proposition 1 *The matrices E_n^ζ $(\zeta^\ell = 1,\ \zeta \neq 0,\ n \in \mathbb{Z})$, Λ_n $(n \in \mathbb{Z},\ n \not\equiv 0 \mod \ell)$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell[s^{\pm 1}]$. Therefore the representation $\pi_\infty \circ \iota_\ell$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ is given by*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_n^\zeta \lambda^{-n-1} \mapsto : \psi(\lambda)\psi^*(\zeta\lambda) : \quad (\zeta^\ell = 1, \zeta \neq 1), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\Lambda_n \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} : \psi_{-i}\psi_{i+n}^* : \ (n \in \mathbb{Z},\ n \not\equiv 0 \mod \ell), \quad K_s \mapsto \mathrm{id}.$$

Proposition 2 *If we identify E_m^ζ, Λ_m with the elements of $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell[s^{\pm 1}]$, then we have*

$$s^m E_n^\zeta = E_{n+m\ell}^\zeta, \quad s^m \Lambda_n = \Lambda_{n+m\ell}. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the centralizer of Λ_ℓ in $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$ coincide with $\iota_\ell(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell) + \mathbb{C}1$.

Lemma 3 *Let* $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \psi(\lambda) \otimes \psi^*(\lambda)$ *be the operator on* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$. *Then we have*

$$\left[\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{n\ell} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) d\lambda, \ \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell \right] = 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We use the expression

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{n\ell} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) d\lambda = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-i} \otimes \psi^*_{i+n\ell}. \tag{2.9}$$

For $A = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} a_{ij} :\!\psi_{-i}\psi_j^*\!:$ that satisfy (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes A, \sum_k \psi_{-k} \otimes \psi^*_{k+n\ell}] \\ = & \sum_k [A, \psi_{-k}] \otimes \psi^*_{k+n\ell} + \sum_k \psi_{-k} \otimes [A, \psi^*_{k+n\ell}] \\ = & \sum_k \sum_j a_{jk} \psi_{-j} \otimes \psi^*_{k+n\ell} + \sum_k \psi_{-k} \otimes \sum_j (-a_{k+n\ell,j}) \psi_j^* \\ = & \sum_{k,j} \left(a_{j,k-n\ell} - a_{j+n\ell,k} \right) \psi_{-j} \otimes \psi_k^* = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.6) in the last line. *Q.E.D.*

2.4 Equation for the $\mathrm{SL}_\ell^{\text{tor}}$-orbit of the vacuum

Combining the results in the preceding subsection and Lemma 1, we have the representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell^{\text{tor}}$ on the space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ defined as $\pi_{\ell,\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\iota_\ell \circ \pi_\infty)^{\text{tor}}$. Now we are in a position to define the following important operator:

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}}(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(\lambda) V_m(\lambda^\ell) \otimes \psi^*(\lambda) V_{-m}(\lambda^\ell).$$

The operator satisfy the following property.

Lemma 4 *We have*

$$\left[\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{j\ell} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}}(\lambda) d\lambda, \mathfrak{sl}_\ell^{\text{tor}} \right] = 0 \quad \textit{for any} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here the contour integral is understood symbolically, namely, just to extract the coefficient of λ^{-1} : $\oint \lambda^n d\lambda / (2\pi i) = \delta_{n,-1}$.

Proof. We first note that the operator $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}}(\lambda)$ is the product of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda) \otimes \psi^*(\lambda)$ and $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m(\lambda^\ell) \otimes V_{-m}(\lambda^\ell)$ that commute with each other. In view of lemma 3 and the fact that the latter operator is a series of λ^ℓ, one can see the lemma above is valid. *Q.E.D.*

Let $\mathrm{SL}_\ell^{\text{tor}}$ denote a group of invertible linear transformations on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tor}}$ generated by the exponential action of the elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell \otimes R$ acting locally nilpotently.

Corollary 1 *Let* $\tau \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ *be in the* $\mathrm{SL}_\ell^{\mathrm{tor}}$*-orbit of* $\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbf{vac}_{\mathfrak{d}}$. *Then we have*

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{j\ell}\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}(\lambda)\,(\tau\otimes\tau)\,d\lambda = 0 \quad \textit{for}\,\forall j \geq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. By the preceding Lemma, it suffices to show the equations above for $\tau = \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}$. In fact, by the expressions (2.9) and (2.4), one can directly verify this. *Q.E.D.*

2.5 Bosonization

Here we present a summary of the *boson-fermion correspondence*, that says the space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be identified with the space of polynomials

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{C}[e^{x_0}, e^{-x_0}], \quad \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} 1 \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

To state the correspondence precisely, we introduce the *charged vacua*

$$\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}^{[n]} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \psi^*_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \psi^*_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} & n < 0 \\ \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} & n = 0 \\ \psi_{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}} & n > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 5 *[7] There exists an unique linear isomorphism* $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ *such that*

$$\sigma(\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{A}}^{[n]}) = \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}}^{[n]}, \quad \sigma\Lambda_m\sigma^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} & m > 0 \\ -m x_{-m} & m < 0 \end{cases},$$

where we set $\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}}^{[n]} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} e^{nx_0}$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

We introduce *the vertex operators* by

$$\begin{aligned} X(\lambda) &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \exp\Big(\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda)\Big) e^{x_0} \lambda^{\partial_{x_0}} \exp\Big(-\xi(\widetilde{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \lambda^{-1})\Big), \\ X^*(\lambda) &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \exp\Big(-\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda)\Big) e^{-x_0} \lambda^{-\partial_{x_0}} \exp\Big(\xi(\widetilde{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \lambda^{-1})\Big), \\ X(\lambda,\mu) &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \exp\Big(\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda) - \xi(\boldsymbol{x},\mu)\Big) \lambda^{\partial_{x_0}} \mu^{-\partial_{x_0}} \exp\Big(-\xi(\widetilde{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \lambda^{-1}) + \xi(\widetilde{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \mu^{-1})\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ stands for $(\partial_{x_1}, \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_2}, \frac{1}{3}\partial_{x_3}, \dots)$ and we set

$$\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \lambda^n \tag{2.11}$$

Then we have the following correspondences of operators:

$$\sigma\psi(\lambda)\sigma^{-1} = X(\lambda), \quad \sigma\psi^*(\lambda)\sigma^{-1} = X^*(\lambda),$$
$$\sigma\Big(:\psi(\lambda)\psi^*(\mu):\Big)\sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda-\mu}\Big(X(\lambda,\mu) - 1\Big),$$

where $\frac{1}{\lambda-\mu}$ stands for the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1}\mu^n$.

2.6 Hirota bilinear equation arising from toroidal Lie algebras

If we translate equation (2.10) into bosonic language, then it comes out a hierarchy of Hirota bilinear equations. So let us introduce the following bosonic counterpart of operator $\Omega^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$

$$\Omega^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} X(\lambda)V_m(\lambda^\ell) \otimes X^*(\lambda)V_{-m}(\lambda^\ell) = (\sigma\otimes\mathrm{id})\Omega^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)(\sigma^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}).$$

We define $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\mathbf{vac}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathbf{vac}_{\mathfrak{d}}$. To state next theorem, we define the elementary Schur polynomials $p_n(\boldsymbol{x})$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) by the generating series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(\boldsymbol{x})\lambda^n = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k\lambda^k\right),$$

here $\boldsymbol{x}$ stands for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$.

Notation We shall use the notation $\check{\boldsymbol{y}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (y_\ell, y_{2\ell}, \dots)$, $\boldsymbol{y}^* \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (y^*_\ell, y^*_{2\ell}, \dots)$, $y \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} y_0$, and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}})$ in the sequel of this paper.

Theorem 1 *Let* $\tau = \tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ *be in the* $\mathrm{SL}^{\mathrm{tor}}_\ell$*-orbit of* $\mathbf{vac}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}}$. *Then* τ *satisfies the bilinear equation:*

$$\sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} p_m(2\boldsymbol{a})p_{m+k\ell+j\ell+1}(-\widetilde{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}})p_k((\kappa - D_y)\boldsymbol{b})e^{\langle \boldsymbol{a}, D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{b}, D_{\check{\boldsymbol{y}}}\rangle}\tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\cdot\tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = 0$$

for $j \geq 0$ *where* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, ...)$, $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_\ell, b_{2\ell}, \dots)$ *and* κ *are indeterminates and*

$$\widetilde{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (D_{x_1}, \frac{D_{x_2}}{2}, \frac{D_{x_3}}{3}, \dots),\ \langle \boldsymbol{a}, D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\rangle \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n D_{x_n},\ \langle \boldsymbol{b}, D_{\check{\boldsymbol{y}}}\rangle \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell} D_{y_{n\ell}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. By the bosonic realization, we shall identify $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ with the following space of polynomials

$$\mathbb{C}[e^{\pm x_0^{(i)}}, e^{\pm y_0^{(i)}}, x_n^{(i)}, y_{n\ell}^{(i)}, y_{n\ell}^{*(i)}\ (i = 1, 2,\ n = 1, 2, \dots)].$$

To convert equation (2.10) into bosonic language, we shall introduce new variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_n &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(x_n^{(1)} - x_n^{(2)}), & \overline{x}_n &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(x_n^{(1)} + x_n^{(2)}) &&\text{for} \quad n \geq 0,\\
y_{n\ell} &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(y_{n\ell}^{(1)} - y_{n\ell}^{(2)}), & \overline{y_{n\ell}} &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(y_{n\ell}^{(1)} + y_{n\ell}^{(2)}) &&\text{for} \quad n \geq 0,\\
y^*_{n\ell} &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(y_{n\ell}^{*(1)} - y_{n\ell}^{*(2)}), & \overline{y^*_{n\ell}} &\overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(y_{n\ell}^{*(1)} + y_{n\ell}^{*(2)}) &&\text{for} \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$X(\lambda)\otimes X^*(\lambda) = \exp\left(2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n\lambda^n\right) e^{2x_0}\lambda^{\partial_{x_0}} \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\lambda^{-n}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \quad V_m(\lambda^\ell)\otimes V_{-m}(\lambda^\ell)\\ = &\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \quad \exp\left(2m\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n\ell}\lambda^{n\ell}\right) e^{2my_0} \exp\left(-m\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^*_{n\ell}}\lambda^{-n\ell}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using a lemma by Billig ([2], Proposition 3, see also [15]), we obtain the Hirota bilinear equation. Here we made a reduction $D_{y^*_{n\ell}}=0$, since, by the construction of the representation, τ in the orbit does not depend on the variables $y^*_{n\ell}$. *Q.E.D.*

Remark.

1. By the construction of the representation, it is clear that our $\tau=\tau(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})$ does not depend on the variables $x_\ell, x_{2\ell},\dots$.
2. If we put $b_\ell=b_{2\ell}=\cdots=0$, then equation (2.12) is reduced to

   $$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m(2\boldsymbol{a})p_{m+j\ell+1}(-\widetilde{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}})e^{\langle \boldsymbol{a},D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\rangle}\tau\cdot\tau=0 \quad (j\geq 0)$$

   This is known as bilinear equation for the ℓ-reduced KP hierarchy [7].

Example 2 Let $\ell=2$. From the coefficient of a_3 for $j=0$, we have a equation:

$$\left(D_x^4-4D_xD_t\right)\tau\cdot\tau=0, \quad \text{where} \quad x=x_1, t=x_3. \tag{2.13}$$

This can be transformed into a non-linear equation

$$2\rho_{xt}=\frac{1}{2}\rho_{xxxx}+3\rho_{xx}^2 \tag{2.14}$$

where we set $\rho=\log\tau$. Differentiating by x, we have the original KdV equation:

$$u_t=\frac{1}{4}u_{xxx}+\frac{3}{2}uu_x, \quad u\overset{\text{def}}{=}2\rho_{xx}. \tag{2.15}$$

Example 3 Let $\ell=2$. The coefficient of b_2 in (2.12) for $j=0$ gives an equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{6}D_{y_0}D_{x_1}^3+\frac{1}{3}D_{y_0}D_{x_3}-D_{x_1}D_{y_2}\right)\tau\cdot\tau=0. \tag{2.16}$$

Equation (2.16) times 6 is nothing but (1.3) with $y_0=y, x_1=x, y_2=z, x_3=t$.

We shall use the following formulae of the logarithmic transformations:

$$\frac{{D_x}^3D_y\tau\cdot\tau}{\tau^2}=12\rho_{xx}\rho_{xy}+2\rho_{xxxy}, \quad \frac{D_xD_y\tau\cdot\tau}{\tau^2}=2\rho_{xy}, \tag{2.17}$$

where $\rho \overset{\text{def}}{=} \log\tau$. These allows us to write equation (2.16) as a non-linear equation:

$$2\rho_{xy_2} = 2\rho_{xx}\rho_{xy} + \frac{1}{3}\rho_{xxxy} + \frac{2}{3}\rho_{yt}. \tag{2.18}$$

Example 4 Let us derive Bogoyavlensky's 2+1-dimensional equation by our hierarchy of Hirota equations. We differentiate (2.18) by x to give:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\rho_{xxy_2} &= 2(\rho_{xx}\rho_{xy})_x + \frac{1}{3}\rho_{xxxxy} + \frac{2}{3}\rho_{xyt} && (2.19)\\ &= 2\rho_{xxx}\rho_{xy} + 2\rho_{xx}\rho_{xxy} + \frac{1}{3}\rho_{xxxxy} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_{xxxx} + 3\rho_{xx}^2\right)_y && (2.20)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\rho_{xxxxy} + 2\rho_{xxx}\rho_{xy} + 4\rho_{xx}\rho_{xxy}. && (2.21)\end{aligned}$$

We have used equation (2.15) in the second line. Putting $u = 2\rho_{xx}$, it reads

$$u_{y_2} = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxy} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^{-1}u_y)u_x + uu_y, \tag{2.22}$$

where we interpret $\partial_x^{-1}u_y$ as $2\rho_{xy}$. After the simple scale transformation $\partial_x = 2\partial_{x'}, \partial_{y_2} = -\partial_{y_2'}, u = -4u'$, (2.22) coincide with (1.22) in Chap. II in [4].

Example 5 Let $\ell = 3$. There is a non-trivial equation of degree 4 unique up to scaler, which is not in the 3-reduced KP hierarchy (the Boussinesq hierarchy), i.e.

$$\left(4D_{x_1}D_{y_3} - D_{y_0}D_{x_4} - D_{y_0}D_{x_1}^2D_{x_2}\right)\tau\cdot\tau = 0. \tag{2.23}$$

For instance, this arises from the coefficient of b_3 in (2.12) for $j = 0$. Introducing $\rho = \log\tau$, we can rewrite (2.23) as a non-linear equation:

$$4\rho_{x_1y_3} - \rho_{y_0x_4} - \rho_{y_0x_1x_1x_2} - 4\rho_{y_0x_1}\rho_{x_1x_2} - 2\rho_{y_0x_2}\rho_{x_1x_1} = 0. \tag{2.24}$$

3 Lax formalism of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy

3.1 Formal pseudo-differential operators

The Lax formalism of the KP hierarchy is described in the language of formal pseudo-differential operators (PsDO for short) on a line. An affine coordinate x of this line is to be identified with the first variable x_1 in the bosonization of free fermions in the previous section. Let ∂_x denote the derivation $\partial/\partial x$. In an abstract setting, one can take an arbitrary ring with a derivation ∂ (namely, a *differential ring*); we shall rather naively consider, e.g., the ring of formal power series of x.

A formal PsDO is a formal linear combination, $A = \sum_n a_n\partial_x^n$, of integer powers of ∂_x with coefficients $a_n = a_n(x)$ that depend on x. The index n ranges over all integers with an upper bound N. The least upper bound is called the *order* of this PsDO. The first non-vanishing coefficient a_N is called the *leading coefficient*. If the leading coefficient is equal to 1, the PsDO is said to be *monic*. It is convenient to use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}(A)_{\geq k} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\geq k} a_n \partial_x^n,\\ (A)_{\leq k} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\leq k} a_n \partial_x^n,\\ (A)_k &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_k.\end{aligned}$$

Addition and multiplication (or composition) of two PsDO's are defined as follows. Addition of two PsDO's is an obvious operation, namely, the term wise sum of the coefficients. Multiplication is defined by extrapolating the Leibniz rule

$$\partial_x^n \circ f = \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)} \partial_x^{n-k},$$

to the case where n is negative. Here "$\circ$" stands for composition of two operators, and $f^{(k)}$ the k-th derivative $\partial^k f/\partial x^k$ of f. More explicitly, the product $C = A \circ B$ of two PsDO's $A = \sum_n a_n \partial_x^n$ and $B = \sum_n b_n \partial_x^n$ is given by

$$C = \sum_{m,n,k} \binom{m}{k} a_m^{(k)} b_n \partial_x^{m+n-k}.$$

Note that the n-th order coefficient $c_n = (C)_n$ is the sum of a finite number of terms. We shall frequently write AB rather than $A \circ B$ if it does not cause confusion.

Another important operation is the formal adjoint $A \mapsto A^*$:

$$A = \sum_n a_n \partial_x^n \longmapsto A^* = \sum_n (-\partial_x)^n \circ a_n.$$

This is an anti-homomorphism, namely, for any pair A, B of PsDO's,

$$(AB)^* = B^* A^*.$$

Any PsDO $A = \sum_{n\leq N} a_n \partial_x^n$ with an invertible leading coefficient a_N has an inverse PsDO. In particular, a monic PsDO is invertible.

3.2 KP hierarchy and its ℓ-reductions

The standard Lax formalism of the KP hierarchy uses a monic first order PsDO (the *Lax operator*) of the form

$$L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n+1} \partial_x^{-n}.$$

The hierarchy is defined by the Lax equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_n} = [B_n, L]$$

with an infinite number of *time variables* $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. The *Zakharov-Shabat operators* B_n are given by

$$B_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} (L^n)_{\geq 0}.$$

We identify x_1 with x, namely $x_1 = x$. This is consistent with the first Lax equation

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial x_1} = [\partial_x, \Psi(\lambda)] = \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial x}.$$

These Lax equations are associated with the linear equations

$$L\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda \Psi(\lambda), \quad \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial x_n} = B_n \Psi(\lambda).$$

Here $\Psi(\lambda)$ (the "wave function") is understood to be a function of both $\boldsymbol{x}$ and λ, $\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)$, though we shall frequently omit writing $\boldsymbol{x}$ explicitly. The Lax equations ensure the existence of a non-vanishing solution of the above linear equations. Of particular importance is a solution (called the *formal Baker-Akhiezer function*) of the form

$$\Psi(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \lambda^{-n} \right) e^{\xi(\lambda)},$$

where the first factor is generally a formal Laurent series with variable coefficients $w_n = w_n(\boldsymbol{x})$, and $\xi(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\xi(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \lambda^n.$$

The exponential factor is the same thing that we have encountered in the bosonization of free fermions. One can make sense of the action of PsDO's on $\Psi(\lambda)$ by simply extrapolating the derivation rule

$$\partial_x^n e^{\xi(\lambda)} = \lambda^n e^{\xi(\lambda)}$$

to negative powers of ∂_x.

Let us now introduce the *Wilson-Sato operator*

$$W \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \partial_x^{-n}.$$

This enables us to express the formal Baker-Akhiezer function as:

$$\Psi(\lambda) = W e^{\xi(\lambda)}. \tag{3.1}$$

The linear equations for $\Psi(\lambda)$ can now be converted to the equations

$$L = W\partial_x W^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_n} = B_n W - W\partial_x^n \tag{3.2}$$

for W. The first equation shows the relation between the Lax operator L and the Wilson-Sato operator W (note that W is monic, hence invertible.) In particular, the Zakharov-Shabat operators can be written

$$B_n = \left(W\partial_x^n W^{-1}\right)_{\geq 0}.$$

If one substitutes the Zakharov-Shabat operators in the second equation for W by this expression, the outcome is the equation

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x_n} = \left(W\partial_x^n W^{-1}\right)_{\geq 0} W - W\partial_x^n = -\left(W\partial_x^n W^{-1}\right)_{\leq -1} W.$$

Note that this is a *nonlinear* system of evolution equations for the coefficients w_n. This is a *master equation* of the KP hierarchy; the Lax equations can be *derived* from this nonlinear system via aforementioned relation (3.2) between L and W. It is this nonlinear system that Sato linearized on an infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold (Sato's *universal Grassmannian*) [26, 28].

The ℓ-reduced hierarchy (the ℓ-KdV hierarchy) is defined by the constraint

$$(L^\ell)_{\leq -1} = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The constraint means that L^ℓ be a *differential* operator, i.e.,

$$P \overset{\text{def}}{=} L^\ell = \partial_x^\ell + u_2\partial_x^{\ell-2} + \ldots + u_\ell.$$

This constraint is preserved under time evolutions, because the associated Lax equations

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n} = \left[(P^{n/\ell})_{\geq 0}, P\right],$$

for P become a closed system of unconstrained evolution equations for the coefficients $u_2, \ldots, u_\ell$ of P. The time evolutions in $x_\ell, x_{2\ell}, \ldots$ are trivial,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{n\ell}} = [P^n, P] = 0,$$

and, in turn, give rise to an *eigenvalue problem*,

$$P\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^\ell \Psi(\lambda).$$

The other time evolutions can be interpreted as *isospectral deformations* of this eigenvalue problem. In the case where $\ell = 2$, this is exactly the well known characterization of the KdV hierarchy.

3.3 Heuristic Introduction of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy

We here present a heuristic consideration that leads to a hierarchy of higher evolution equations for the Bogoyavlensky equation.

The point of departure is the Lax representation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = [P\partial_y + C, P] = P\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C, P]$$

mentioned in Introduction (1.4). Here P is the Lax operator $\partial_x^2 + p$ of the KdV equation and C is a first order differential operator. This Lax equation is associated with the linear equations

$$P\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^2\Psi(\lambda), \quad \frac{\partial\Psi(\lambda)}{\partial z} = (P\partial_y + C)\Psi(\lambda).$$

Note that the second linear equation can be rewritten

$$\frac{\partial\Psi(\lambda)}{\partial z} = (\lambda^2\partial_y + A)\Psi(\lambda),$$

where

$$A \overset{\text{def}}{=} C - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}.$$

Furthermore, if we assume the existence of the Wilson-Sato operator W with which $\Psi(\lambda)$ takes the form

$$\Psi(\lambda) = We^{\xi(\lambda)}, \quad \xi(\lambda) = x\lambda + \cdots,$$

the time evolution of W is determined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial W}{\partial z} &= P\frac{\partial W}{\partial y} + CW \\ &= P[\partial_y, W] + CW \\ &= (P\partial_y + C)W - W\partial_x^2\partial_y.\end{aligned}$$

We have used the identity $PW = W\partial_x^2$ in the last two lines.

If one compares the last equation with the equations in the KP hierarchy, one will soon notice that the role of ∂_x^n is now played by $\partial_x^2\partial_y$. The (two-dimensional!) differential operator $P\partial_y + C$ should be a counterpart of B_n's. A natural generalization of this observation is to consider the higher order operators $\partial_x^{2n}\partial_y$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. The associated evolution equations, with "time variables" $y_2(= z), y_4, \ldots$, will accordingly take such a form as

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} = D_{2n}W - W\partial_x^{2n}\partial_y$$

where D_{2n} is a *two-dimensional* differential operator in x and y. In fact, one can specify D_{2n} in more detail: This equation can be rewritten

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} = (D_{2n} - P^n \partial_y) W + P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y},$$

and this implies that $D_{2n} - P^n \partial_y$ is a differential operator in x only, which we call C_{2n}:

$$D_{2n} = P^n \partial_y + C_{2n}.$$

We are thus led to the evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} &= (P^n \partial_y + C_{2n}) W - W \partial_x^{2n} \partial_y \\ &= P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} + C_{2n} W. \end{aligned}$$

Just like the Zakharov-Shabat operators B_n of the KP hierarchy, the differential operator C_{2n} is uniquely determined by the evolution equation itself:

$$C_{2n} = \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} W^{-1} - P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} W^{-1} \right)_{\geq 0} = - \left(P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} W^{-1} \right)_{\geq 0}.$$

Therefore the evolution equation of W can be rewritten

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} = \left(P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} W^{-1} \right)_{\leq -1} W.$$

These equations, along with the aforementioned evolution equations in x_{2n+1}'s, give a nonlinear system of evolution equations for the coefficients w_n of W. We call this system the *Bogoyavlensky hierarchy* or, more precisely, the *Bogoyavlensky-KdV hierarchy*.

The spatial variable y may be identified with the *zero-th* time variable y_0, because the corresponding operator C_0 is equal to zero so that the evolution equation for W reduces to

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_0} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}.$$

It is straightforward to write down the associated linear equations. They take two equivalent (but apparently different) forms. One expression is a direct consequence of the evolution equation of W:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial y_{2n}} = (P^n \partial_y + C_{2n}) \Psi(\lambda).$$

Another expression is the following:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial y_{2n}} = (\lambda^{2n} \partial_y + A_{2n}) \Psi(\lambda),$$

where

$$A_{2n} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} C_{2n} - \frac{\partial P^n}{\partial y}.$$

3.4 Lax equations of Bogoyavlensky hierarchy

Let us derive Lax equations of the Bogoyavlensky hierarchy. To this end, we introduce the PsDO

$$Q \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} W^{-1}$$

as the *second* Lax operator. This operator, just like $P = W \circ \partial_x^2 \circ W^{-1}$, is related to conjugation by the Wilson-Sato operator:

$$\partial_y - Q = W \circ \partial_y \circ W^{-1}.$$

The commutation relation $[\partial_y, \partial_x^2] = 0$ thereby implies the commutation relation

$$[Q - \partial_y, P] = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = [Q, P].$$

Note that this relation itself takes the form of a Lax equation.

These operators have already appeared in the aforementioned differential operators C_{2n} and A_{2n}. C_{2n} can be indeed written

$$C_{2n} = -(P^n Q)_{\geq 0}.$$

Moreover, using the Lax-type equation

$$\frac{\partial P^n}{\partial y} = [Q, P^n]$$

(which is a consequence of the commutation relations of P and Q), one can confirm that A_{2n} can be written

$$A_{2n} = -(Q P^n)_{\geq 0}.$$

Having these operators, we can now write down Lax equations of the Bogoyavlensky hierarchy:

Proposition 3 *The operators P and Q satisfy the equations*

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\partial_{x_{2n+1}} - B_{2n+1}, P] = 0, & [\partial_{x_{2n+1}} - B_{2n+1}, Q - \partial_y] = 0, \\ [\partial_{y_{2n}} - P^n \partial_y - C_{2n}, P] = 0, & [\partial_{y_{2n}} - P^n \partial_y - C_{2n}, Q - \partial_y] = 0, \end{array}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{2n+1}} &= [B_{2n+1}, P], \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_{2n+1}} &= \frac{\partial B_{2n+1}}{\partial y} + [B_{2n+1}, Q], \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{2n}} &= P^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C_{2n}, P], \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_{2n}} &= P^n \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C_{2n}}{\partial y} + [C_{2n}, Q]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove the equations for the y_{2n}-derivatives; the proof of the other equations are parallel and even simpler. First, differentiating $P = W\partial_x^2 W^{-1}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{2n}} &= \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}}\partial_x^2 W^{-1} - W\partial_x^2 W^{-1}\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} W^{-1} \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} W^{-1}, P\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can now use the evolution equations

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} = P^n Q W + C_{2n} W$$

and the commutation relation $[Q, P] = \partial P/\partial y$, and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{2n}} &= [P^n Q + C_{2n}, P] \\ &= P^n \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + [C_{2n}, P]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from the identity $Q - \partial_y = -W\partial_y W^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_{2n}} &= \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{2n}} W^{-1}, Q - \partial_y\right] \\ &= [P^n Q + C_{2n}, Q - \partial_y] \\ &= [P^n, Q]Q + \frac{\partial P^n Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C_{2n}}{\partial y} + [C_{2n}, Q] \\ &= [P^n, Q]Q + \frac{\partial P^n}{\partial y} Q + P^n \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C_{2n}}{\partial y} + [C_{2n}, Q]. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms in the last line cancel each other, again because of the commutation relation of Q and P. This completes the proof. *Q.E.D.*

3.5 Formulation of ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy

We are now in a position to formulate the ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy for a general value of $\ell \geq 2$. This hierarchy is an extension of the ℓ-reduced KP hierarchy with additional independent variables $\boldsymbol{y} = (y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}})$, where $y = y_0$ and $\check{\boldsymbol{y}} = (y_\ell, y_{2\ell}, \dots)$. The coefficients w_n of the Wilson-Sato operator

$$W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \partial_x^{-n}$$

are understood to be functions of these variables also, $w_n = w_n(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$. Assume that W satisfy the ℓ-reduced constraints, cf.(3.3):

$$\left(W\partial_x^\ell W^{-1}\right)_{\leq -1} = 0,$$

and define the differential operator

$$P \overset{\text{def}}{=} W\partial_x^\ell W^{-1}.$$

- The most fundamental part of this hierarchy is the evolution equations

  $$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_n} &= -\left(W\partial_x^n W^{-1}\right)_{\le -1} W, \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{n\ell}} &= \left(W\partial_x^{n\ell} W^{-1}\frac{\partial W}{\partial y}W^{-1}\right)_{\le -1} W. \end{aligned}$$

  of W. These equations can also be written

  $$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_n} &= B_n W - W\partial_x^n, & (3.4) \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{n\ell}} &= W\partial_x^{n\ell} W^{-1}\frac{\partial W}{\partial y} + C_{n\ell}W & (3.5) \\ &= (W\partial_x^{n\ell}W^{-1}\partial_y + C_{n\ell})W - W\partial_x^{n\ell}\partial_y, \end{aligned}$$

  where B_n and $C_{n\ell}$ are differential operators defined by

  $$\begin{aligned} B_n &\overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(W\partial_x^n W^{-1}\right)_{\ge 0}, \\ C_{n\ell} &\overset{\text{def}}{=} -\left(W\partial_x^{n\ell}W^{-1}\frac{\partial W}{\partial y}W^{-1}\right)_{\ge 0}. & (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

- The evolution equations of W in $y_{n\ell}$'s can also be rewritten

  $$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{n\ell}} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}\partial_x^{n\ell} + A_{n\ell}W,$$

  where

  $$A_{n\ell} \overset{\text{def}}{=} -(QP^n)_{\ge 0} = C_{n\ell} - \frac{\partial P^n}{\partial y}. \tag{3.7}$$

- Lax equations of this hierarchy are described by P and the following PsDO:

  $$Q \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}W^{-1}.$$

  They satisfy the commutation relation

  $$[Q, P] = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}.$$

  B_n and $C_{n\ell}$ can now be expressed as

  $$B_n = (P^{n/\ell})_{\ge 0}, \quad C_{n\ell} = -(P^n Q)_{\ge 0}.$$

P and Q satisfy the Lax equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n} &= [B_n, P], \\
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_n} &= \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial y} + [B_n, Q], \\
\frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{n\ell}} &= P^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C_{n\ell}, P], \\
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_{n\ell}} &= P^n \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C_{n\ell}}{\partial y} + [C_{n\ell}, Q].
\end{aligned}$$

- The formal Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\Psi(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} W e^{\xi(\lambda)} \tag{3.8}$$

satisfies the linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}
P\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^\ell \Psi(\lambda), &\qquad Q\Psi(\lambda) = \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial y}, \\
\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial x_n} = B_n \Psi(\lambda), &\qquad \frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial y_{n\ell}} = \left(P^n \partial_y + C_{n\ell}\right) \Psi(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The last equation can also be written

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial y_{n\ell}} = \left(\lambda^{n\ell} \partial_y + A_{n\ell}\right) \Psi(\lambda). \tag{3.10}$$

Example 6 Let us consider the case $\ell = 2$. The Lax operator has the form $P = \partial_x^2 + u$ where $u = -2w_{1x}$. We can write down $C_2 = A_2 + \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ as follows:

$$C_2 = c_1 \partial_x + c_2, \quad c_1 = -w_{1y}, \quad c_2 = w_{1y} w_1 - w_{2y} - 2w_{1xy}. \tag{3.11}$$

The evolution equation with respect to y_2 reads

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y_2} = P \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C_2, P]. \tag{3.12}$$

One can calculate the right-hand side of (3.12) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C_2, P] && (3.13) \\
= \quad & (2u_{xy} - c_{1xx} - 2c_{2x}) \partial_x + u_{xxy} + u u_y + c_1 u_x - c_{2xx}, && (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients of ∂_x^2 cancel trivially because $u = -2w_{1x}$.

Here we use the following relation which is a direct consequence of the 2-reduced condition

$$w_{1xx} + 2w_{2x} - 2w_1 w_{1x} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Using this, we now have $c_{2x} = -\frac{3}{2} w_{1xxy}$. This finally leads to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_2} = \frac{1}{4} u_{xxy} + v u_x + u u_y \quad \text{where} \quad v \overset{\text{def}}{=} -w_{1y}. \tag{3.16}$$

Caution! The expression w_{2x}, for example, does not mean $\partial_x^2 w$ but $\partial_x w_2$.

Example 7 We shall demonstrate the third order flow y_3 in case of $\ell = 3$. The Lax operator $P = \partial_x^3 + U \partial_x + V$ is given as:

$$U = -3 w_{1x}, \quad V = -3 w_{1xx} - 3 w_{2x} + 3 w_1 w_{1x}. \tag{3.17}$$

Operator $C_3 = c_1 \partial_x^2 + c_2 \partial_x + c_3$ is given explicitly as:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -w_{1y}, \quad c_2 = w_{1y} w_1 - w_{2y} - 3 w_{1xy}, && (3.18) \\ c_3 &= -w_{3y} - w_{1y} w_1^2 + w_1 (w_{2y} + 3 w_{1xy}) + w_{1y} (w_2 + 5 w_{1x}) - 3 w_{1xxy} - 3 w_{2xy}. && (3.19) \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall the 3-reduced condition. In particular, the coefficient of ∂_x^{-1} in $W \partial_x^3 W^{-1}$ should vanish identically. This condition is written as:

$$w_{3x} = -\tfrac{1}{3} w_{1xxx} - w_{2xx} + w_{1x}^2 + w_{1x} w_2 + w_1 w_{1xx} + w_1 w_{2x} - w_{1x} w_1^2. \tag{3.20}$$

Using (3.17),(3.18), and (3.19), it is simple to see that the right-hand side of evolution equation,

$$\partial_{y_3} P = P \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + [C_3, P], \tag{3.21}$$

is of order at most two. To verify that the right-hand side is actually of first order, we eliminate w_3 by using equation (3.20). Thus, it should be emphasized that the 3-reduced condition is crucial to introduce flow y_3 by (3.21).

After eliminating w_3, we have the following explicit forms of evolution equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_3} U &= 6 w_{1y} w_{2xx} - w_{1xxxxy} + 9 w_{1xy} w_{2x} - 2 w_{2xxxy} - 12 w_{1y} w_{1x}^2 - 15 w_{1xy} w_1 w_{1x} \\ &\quad + 6 w_{1x} w_{2xy} - 9 w_{1y} w_1 w_{1xx} + 12 w_{1xy} w_{1xx} + 9 w_{1xxy} w_{1x} + 2 w_1 w_{1xxxy} \\ &\quad + 5 w_{1y} w_{1xxx} + 3 w_{1xx} w_{2y}, \\ \partial_{y_3} V &= -9 w_{1xy} w_{1x}^2 - 18 w_{1xy} w_1 w_{1xx} + 3 w_{1xxx} w_{2y} + 3 w_{1x} w_{2xxy} - 3 w_{1x}^2 w_{2y} \\ &\quad - 15 w_{1y} w_{1x} w_{1xx} + 9 w_{1xy} w_{2xx} + 9 w_{1xxy} w_{2x} - 12 w_{1xxy} w_1 w_{1x} \\ &\quad + 3 w_{1y} w_{2xxx} - 6 w_{1y} w_1 w_{1xxx} + 2 w_{1y} w_{1xxxx} + 7 w_{1xy} w_{1xxx} + 9 w_{1xxy} w_{1xx} \\ &\quad + 3 w_{1xxxy} w_{1x} + 9 w_{1xx} w_{2xy} - 3 w_{2y} w_1 w_{1xx} + 12 w_{1y} w_1 w_{1x}^2 + 3 w_{1y} w_1^2 w_{1xx} \\ &\quad - 9 w_{2x} w_1 w_{1xy} + 9 w_{2x} w_{2xy} + 3 w_{2y} w_{2xx} - 9 w_{2x} w_{1y} w_{1x} - 3 w_{1y} w_1 w_{2xx} \\ &\quad - 9 w_1 w_{1x} w_{2xy} + 9 w_1^2 w_{1x} w_{1xy} - w_{2xxxxy} - \tfrac{1}{3} w_{1xxxxxy} + w_1 w_{1xxxxy}. \end{aligned}$$

3.6 Explicit forms of operators A_n

We give a supplementary discussion on the forms of differential operators A_n. The differential operators $A_{n\ell}$ and $C_{n\ell}$ are written in terms of W (3.7),(3.6) and hence in the coefficients w_i's of W. In particular, we have

$$A_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{(n)} \partial_x^{n-i} = -\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \partial_x^n W^{-1} \right)_{\geq 0}.$$

Here we shall recursively determine the coefficients $a_i^{(n)}$. If we cast $\Psi(\lambda) = We^{\xi(\lambda)}$ into linear equation (3.10), then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial y_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{(n)} (\partial_x + \lambda)^{n-i} W + \lambda^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}.$$

Taking the polynomial part of the equation above with respect to λ, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{(n)} (\partial_x + \lambda)^{n-i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j \lambda^{-j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\partial_y w_j) \lambda^{n-j} = 0, \quad w_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1.$$

Equating coefficients of λ^{n-1} in the equation above, we obtain $a_1^{(n)} = -\partial_y w_1$. Moreover, if $a_1^{(1)}, \ldots, a_{i-1}^{(n)}$ are already known, then $a_i^{(n)}$ can be uniquely determined by the coefficients of λ^{n-i}. In particular, we have $a_2^{(n)} = w_1 \partial_y w_1 - \partial_y w_2$. Note that $a_i^{(n)}$ is a differential polynomial of $w_1, \ldots, w_i$ with respect to ∂_x and ∂_y.

Example 8 For any n we have $a_1^{(n)} = -w_{1y},\ a_2^{(n)} = w_{1y} w_1 - w_{2y}$. We shall give the formulae for $n \leq 5$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3^{(3)} &= -w_{3y} - w_{1y} w_1^2 + w_1 w_{2y} + w_{1y} w_2 + 2 w_{1y} w_{1x}, \\
a_3^{(4)} &= w_1 w_{2y} - w_{1y} w_1^2 + 3 w_{1y} w_{1x} - w_{3y} + w_{1y} w_2, \\
a_4^{(4)} &= -w_{4y} - w_1^2 w_{2y} + w_{1y} w_1^3 - 5 w_{1x} w_{1y} w_1 + w_1 w_{3y} - 2 w_2 w_{1y} w_1 \\
&\quad + w_2 w_{2y} + 2 w_{1x} w_{2y} + w_{1y} w_3 + 3 w_{1y} w_{2x} + 3 w_{1y} w_{1xx}, \\
a_3^{(5)} &= -w_{1y} w_1^2 + w_1 w_{2y} - w_{3y} + 4 w_{1y} w_{1x} + w_{1y} w_2, \\
a_4^{(5)} &= 6 w_{1y} w_{1xx} + w_{1y} w_1^3 - w_1^2 w_{2y} + w_1 w_{3y} - 7 w_{1x} w_{1y} w_1 - 2 w_2 w_{1y} w_1 \qquad (3.22) \\
&\quad + 3 w_{1x} w_{2y} + w_2 w_{2y} - w_{4y} + 4 w_{1y} w_{2x} + w_{1y} w_3, \\
a_5^{(5)} &= -w_{5y} + w_{1y} w_4 + w_3 w_{2y} + 3 w_{1xx} w_{2y} + 3 w_{2x} w_{2y} + 4 w_{1y} w_{1xxx} + 3 w_2 w_{1y} w_1^2 \\
&\quad - 2 w_2 w_1 w_{2y} - 6 w_2 w_{1y} w_{1x} + 9 w_{1x} w_{1y} w_1^2 - 5 w_{1x} w_1 w_{2y} - 2 w_3 w_{1y} w_1 - 9 w_{1xx} w_{1y} w_1 \\
&\quad - 7 w_{2x} w_{1y} w_1 + 6 w_{1y} w_{2xx} + 4 w_{1y} w_{3x} + w_2 w_{3y} - w_{1y} w_2^2 + 2 w_{1x} w_{3y} - 8 w_{1y} w_{1x}^2 \\
&\quad - w_{1y} w_1^4 + w_1^3 w_{2y} - w_1^2 w_{3y} + w_1 w_{4y}. \qquad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

4 Reproducing bilinear equations in Lax formalism

4.1 Dual formal Baker-Akhiezer function

We define the *dual* $\Psi^*(\lambda)$ of the formal Baker-Akhiezer function $\Psi(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$\Psi^*(\lambda) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} W^{*-1} e^{-\xi(\lambda)}.$$

Proposition 4 $\Psi^*(\lambda)$ *satisfies the linear equations*

$$\begin{gathered} P^*\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda^\ell \Psi^*(\lambda), \qquad Q^*\Psi(\lambda) = -\frac{\partial \Psi^*(\lambda)}{\partial y}, \\ \frac{\partial \Psi^*(\lambda)}{\partial x_n} = -B_n^* \Psi^*(\lambda), \qquad \frac{\partial \Psi^*(\lambda)}{\partial y_{n\ell}} = \left(P^{*n}\partial_y - A_{n\ell}\right)\Psi^*(\lambda). \end{gathered}$$

The last equation can also be written

$$\frac{\partial \Psi^*(\lambda)}{\partial y_{n\ell}} = \left(\lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y - C_{n\ell}^*\right)\Psi^*(\lambda).$$

Proof. The first and second equations are immediate from the operator relations

$$\begin{aligned} P^* W^{*-1} &= -W^{*-1}\partial_x, \\ Q^* W^{*-1} &= W^{*-1}\frac{\partial W}{\partial y} W^{*-1} = -\frac{\partial W^{*-1}}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

The third equation can be derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W^{*-1}}{\partial x_n} &= -W^{*-1}\frac{\partial W^*}{\partial x_n} W^{*-1} \\ &= -W^{*-1}\left(B_n W - W\partial_x^n\right)^* W^{*-1} \\ &= -B_n^* W^{*-1} + W^{*-1}(-\partial_x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W^{*-1}}{\partial y_{n\ell}} &= -W^{*-1}\frac{\partial W^*}{\partial y_{n\ell}} W^{*-1} \\ &= -W^{*-1}\left(P^n \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{n\ell}} + C_{n\ell} W\right)^* W^{*-1} \\ &= \frac{\partial W^{-1}}{\partial y}(-\partial_x)^{n\ell} - C_{n\ell} W^{*-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the fourth equation. The last equation can be readily derived from the fourth equation. *Q.E.D.*

4.2 Residue formula of product of PsDO's

The following lemma [19, 7] is a clue for deriving the bilinear equation that $\Psi(\lambda)$ and $\Psi^*(\lambda)$ satisfy.

Lemma 6 *For any pair A, B of PsDO's and non-negative integer j,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\lambda=\infty}\left(\partial_x^j A e^{x\lambda}\right)\left(B e^{-x\lambda}\right)d\lambda = (-1)^{j+1}(BA^*)_{-j-1}.$$

In particular, if A and B are PsDO's of zero-th order of the form $A = a_0 + O(\partial_x^{-1})$ and $B = b_0 + O(\partial_x^{-1})$,

$$BA^* = b_0 a_0 \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad (\forall j \geq 0)\ \oint_{\lambda=\infty}\left(\partial_x^j A e^{x\lambda}\right)\left(B e^{-x\lambda}\right)d\lambda = 0.$$

Proof. Let A and B be written $A = \sum_n a_n \partial_x^n$ and $B = \sum_n b_n \partial_x^n$. Since

$$\partial_x^j \circ A = \sum_m \sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{j}{k} a_m^{(k)} \partial_x^{j+m-k},$$

the contour integral can be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\lambda=\infty}\left(\partial_x^k A e^{x\lambda}\right)\left(B e^{-x\lambda}\right)d\lambda \\
= & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\lambda=\infty}\sum_{j,k}\binom{j}{k} a_m^{(k)}\lambda^{j+m-k}\cdot\sum_n b_n(-\lambda)^n d\lambda \\
= & \sum_{k-m-n-1=j}\binom{j}{k}(-1)^n a_m^{(k)} b_n.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
BA^* &= \sum_{m,n} b_n \partial_x^n (-\partial_x)^m \circ a_m \\
&= \sum_{m,n,k}\binom{m+n}{k}(-1)^m a_m^{(k)} \partial_x^{m+n-k} \\
&= \sum_{m,n,k}\binom{k-m-n-1}{k}(-1)^{k-m} a_m^{(k)} b_n \partial_x^{m+n-k} \\
&= \sum_j \sum_{k-m-n-1=j}\binom{j}{k}(-1)^n a_m^{(k)} b_n (-\partial_x)^{-j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the coefficient of ∂_x^{-j-1} coincides with the countour integral. The lemma is thus proven. *Q.E.D.*

4.3 Bilinearization of linear equations

Let us slightly change the notation, namely, we make explicit the functional dependence on $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$, e.g., $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$, $\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$ and $\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda)$ stand for $\Psi(\lambda)$, $\Psi^*(\lambda)$ and $\xi(\lambda)$ in the previous notation.

We now apply Lemma 6 to the case where $A = W$ and $B = W^{*-1}$. This eventually leads to a bilinear equation for $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$ and $\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$ as follows:

1. By the lemma applied to $A = W$ and $B = W^{*-1}$ gives the bilinear identities

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Big(\partial_x^j \Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)\Big)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)d\lambda = 0$$

for $j \geq 0$ (note that $x_2\lambda^2 + x_3\lambda^3 + \cdots$ in $e^{\pm\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda)}$ cancel out). Thus, we have

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Big(R\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)\Big)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)d\lambda = 0$$

for any differential operator $R = \sum_{n\geq 0} r_n(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\partial_x^n$ in x.

2. Iteration of the evolution equation of $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$ gives rise to higher order equations of the form

$$\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda) = B_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)$$

for $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots \geq 0$, $B_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots}$ being a differential operator in x.

3. Combining these equations with the last bilinear identity, we obtain the bilinear equations

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Big(\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)\Big)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)d\lambda = 0$$

for $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots \geq 0$.

4. These bilinear equations can be cast into a generating function: Introduce an infinite number of new variables $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$, and sum up the bilinear equations over $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots \geq 0$ with the weight $a_1^{\alpha_1}a_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots/\alpha_1!\alpha_2!\cdots$. The outcome is a single bilinear equation of the form

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)d\lambda = 0.$$

5. Finally, rewriting $\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a}$ to $\boldsymbol{x}'$, we obtain the bilinear identity

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}',\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\lambda)d\lambda = 0.$$

Remark. Note that the status of $x_\ell, x_{2\ell}, \ldots$ are somewhat different from others: Since w_n do not depend on these variables, they can appear in $e^{\pm\xi(\boldsymbol{x},\lambda)}$ only. The net effect of these variables is thereby insertion of the exponential factor

$$\exp\Bigl(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x'_{n\ell} - x_{n\ell})\lambda^{n\ell}\Bigr)$$

in the contour integral of the bilinear equations. Therefore the last bilinear equation can be further reduced to the bilinear equations

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \lambda^{j\ell}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)|_{x'_\ell = x'_{2\ell} = \cdots = x_\ell = x_{2\ell} = \cdots = 0} d\lambda = 0$$

for $j \geq 0$.

This is not the end of the story. What we have done is simply to bilinearize the KP-like part of the ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy. The other evolution equations $y_{n\ell}$'s still remain to be bilinearized.

Bilinearization of the evolution equations in $y_{n\ell}$'s can be achieved by the following steps, which are almost parallel to the previous calculations:

1. We rewrite the evolution equations as

   $$(\partial_{y_{n\ell}} - \lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y)\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda) = A_{n\ell}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)$$

   and again do iteration. This yields the higher order equations

   $$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{y_\ell} - \lambda^{\ell}\partial_y)^{\beta_1}(\partial_{y_{2\ell}} - \lambda^{2\ell}\partial_y)^{\beta_2}\cdots\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda) \\ = \ & A_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

   for $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \geq 0$, $A_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots}$ being a differential operator in x.

2. Combining these equations with the bilinear equations that we have derived above, we now obtain the bilinear equations

   $$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\lambda=\infty} & \Bigl((\partial_{y_\ell} - \lambda^{\ell}\partial_y)^{\beta_1}(\partial_{y_{2\ell}} - \lambda^{2\ell}\partial_y)^{\beta_2}\cdots\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)\Bigr) \\ & \times \ \Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Introduce new variables $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_\ell, b_{2\ell}, \ldots)$ and sum up the bilinear identities over $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \geq 0$ with the weight $b_\ell^{\beta_1}b_{2\ell}^{\beta_2}\cdots/\beta_1!\beta_2!\cdots$. This sum contains power series of $\partial_{y_{n\ell}} - \lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y$, which is essentially an exponential:

   $$\sum_{\beta\geq 0}\frac{b^\beta}{\beta!}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(\partial_{y_{n\ell}} - \lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y)^{\beta_n} = \exp\Bigl(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell}(\partial_{y_{n\ell}} - \lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y)\Bigr).$$

The action of this exponential operator can be easily understood by the obvious identity

$$\exp\Bigl(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell}(\partial_{y_{n\ell}} - \lambda^{n\ell}\partial_y)\Bigr) f(y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}}) = f\Bigl(y - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell}\lambda^{n\ell}, \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}\Bigr)$$

that holds true for any function $f(y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}})$. We thus obtain the bilinear identity

$$\oint_{\lambda=\infty} \Psi\Bigl(\boldsymbol{x}', y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}, \lambda\Bigr)\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)d\lambda = 0,$$

where for indeterminates $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_\ell, b_{2\ell}, \dots)$ we set

$$\eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell}\lambda^{n\ell}. \tag{4.1}$$

4. These calculations can be repeated, now starting with the linear equations for $\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)$. This yields a bilinear equation with the variables $\boldsymbol{y} = (y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}})$ in Ψ^* being shifted as

   $$(y, \check{\boldsymbol{y}}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Bigl(y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}\Bigr)$$

   where $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_\ell, c_{2\ell}, \dots)$ are newly introduced variables.

We thus eventually find the following result:

Theorem 2 *The formal Baker-Akhiezer functions $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)$ and $\Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda)$ satisfy the bilinear equation*

$$\begin{aligned}\oint_{\lambda=\infty} &\Psi\Bigl(\boldsymbol{x}', y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}, \lambda\Bigr) \\ \times\ &\Psi^*\Bigl(\boldsymbol{x}, y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}, \lambda\Bigr)d\lambda = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here $\boldsymbol{x}$, $\boldsymbol{x}'$, y, $\boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ are understood to be independent variables.

Remark.

1. Conversely, one can reproduce the evolution equations of W etc. from these bilinear equations.

2. The preceding remark on the status of $x_\ell, x_{2\ell}, \dots$ also applies to this bilinear equation. Thus the bilinear equation holds true if any nonnegative power of λ^ℓ is inserted. Accordingly, by taking a linear combination of those equations, one eventually obtains the slightly generalized (but actually equivalent) form

   $$\begin{aligned}\oint_{\lambda=\infty} &f(\lambda^\ell)\Psi\Bigl(\boldsymbol{x}', y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}, \lambda\Bigr) \\ \times\ &\Psi^*\Bigl(\boldsymbol{x}, y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}, \lambda\Bigr)d\lambda = 0.\end{aligned}$$

   of the bilinear equation that holds for any power series $f(\lambda^\ell) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n\lambda^{n\ell}$.

4.4 τ function and Hirota bilinear equations

We can define the τ function in the same way as the case of the KP hierarchy. Namely, the τ function is a function $\tau = \tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ that satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda) &= \frac{\tau(\boldsymbol{x} - \epsilon(\lambda), \boldsymbol{y})}{\tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})} e^{\xi(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)}, \\ \Psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \lambda) &= \frac{\tau(\boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon(\lambda), \boldsymbol{y})}{\tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})} e^{-\xi(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\epsilon(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n\lambda^n}, \ldots \right).$$

Note that this definition allows the indeterminacy

$$\tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \longrightarrow f(\boldsymbol{y}) \tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$$

with $f(\boldsymbol{y})$ being an arbitrary function of y and $\check{\boldsymbol{y}}$ only. Equation (4.2) now turns into the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\lambda=\infty} & \exp\Big(\xi(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)\Big) \\ \times \quad & \frac{\tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}' - \epsilon(\lambda), y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}\Big)}{\tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}', y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}\Big)} \frac{\tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon(\lambda), y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}\Big)}{\tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}, y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}\Big)} d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for the τ function. Notice here that the two factors in the denominator are power series of λ^ℓ. According to the second remark of the last theorem, one can insert any power series $f(\lambda^\ell)$ in the foregoing equation. If we choose

$$f(\lambda^\ell) = \tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}', y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}\Big) \tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}, y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}\Big),$$

the two factors in the denominator are cancel out. The outcome is the bilinear equation

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\lambda=\infty} & \exp\Big(\xi(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)\Big) \\ \times \quad & \tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x}' - \epsilon(\lambda), y - \eta(\boldsymbol{b}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{b}\Big) \tau\Big(\boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon(\lambda), y - \eta(\boldsymbol{c}, \lambda), \check{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{c}\Big) d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for the τ function.

To rewrite this bilinear equation into the Hirota form, we replace $\boldsymbol{x}' \to \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{a}$, and choose $\boldsymbol{c}$ to be equal to $-\boldsymbol{b}$. The bilinear equation can be thereby converted into a Hirota form:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\lambda=\infty} & \exp\Big(2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda^n\Big) \exp\Big(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_{x_n}}{n} \lambda^{-n}\Big) \exp\Big(- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell} D_y\Big) \\ \times \quad & e^{\langle \boldsymbol{a}, D_{\boldsymbol{x}} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{b}, D_{\check{\boldsymbol{y}}} \rangle} \tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \tau(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The exponential including λ can be expanded into a series of Schur functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\Big(2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\lambda^n\Big) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(2\boldsymbol{a})\lambda^n,\\ \exp\Big(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{D_{x_n}}{n}\lambda^{-n}\Big) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(-\widetilde{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}})\lambda^{-n},\\ \exp\Big(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n\ell}\lambda^{n\ell}D_y\Big) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(-\boldsymbol{b}D_y)\lambda^{n\ell}.\end{aligned}$$

By plugging these identities into the last bilinear equations and do contour integrals, we obtain bilinear equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} \quad & p_m(2\boldsymbol{a})p_{m+k\ell+1}(-\widetilde{D_{\boldsymbol{x}}})p_k(-\boldsymbol{b}D_y)\\ \times \quad & e^{\langle \boldsymbol{a},D_{\boldsymbol{x}}\rangle+\langle \boldsymbol{b},D_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}}\rangle}\tau(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\cdot\tau(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})=0.\end{aligned}$$

These bilinear equations *almost* coincide with those that have been derived from the representation theory of toroidal algebras. Although they do *not* agree, the discrepancy is rather superficial: If one shifts $\boldsymbol{a}$ to $\boldsymbol{a}+\kappa\boldsymbol{b}/2$ and move the extra exponential factor $\exp\Big(\kappa\sum_n b_{n\ell}\lambda^{n\ell}\Big)$ to the third exponential including D_y, the outcome exactly reproduces the previous result(cf. Remark in the preceding subsection).

5 Special solutions of hierarchy

5.1 Construction of the solutions of the Wronskian type

We shall apply the method developed by Date in ([8, 9, 10]) to construct special solutions of ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy. The method corresponds to consider Baker-Akhiezer functions on rational singular curves with N nodes. Such algebro-geometric backgrounds are given by Krichever in [21] (see also [11] of Appendix 1 for an exposition) and Manin in [22] Chap. III. One can also consult instructive lectures given by Mumford in [25] (IIIb, section 5) that treat the subject.

As the data for the solution constructed below, let us consider the following:

- $\alpha_i=\alpha_i(\boldsymbol{y}),\ c_i=c_i(\boldsymbol{y})$ $(i=1,\dots,N)$ are arbitrary (local) solution of the equations

$$\frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial y_{n\ell}}-\alpha_i^{n\ell}\frac{\partial\alpha_i}{\partial y}=0,\quad \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y_{n\ell}}-\alpha_i^{n\ell}\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y}=0\quad n=1,2\dots, \tag{5.1}$$

  such that we have $\alpha_i\not\equiv\alpha_j$ for $i\neq j$,

- ζ_i $(i=1,\dots,N)$ are ℓ-th roots of unity not equal to 1.

- r_i $(i=1,\dots,N)$ are arbitrary complex numbers.

We put $\beta_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \zeta_i \alpha_i(\boldsymbol{y})$. Then we have the equations

$$\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial y_{n\ell}} - \beta_i^{n\ell} \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial y} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2 \ldots .$$

Note that equation (5.1) have constant solutions, namely, for distinct complex numbers α_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ and arbitrary complex numbers c_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$, $\alpha_i(\boldsymbol{y}) = \alpha_i,\ c_i(\boldsymbol{y}) = c_i$ trivially satisfy equations (5.1).

Let us construct a special solution of ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy, which we shall seek in the form

$$\Psi(\lambda, r) = \left(\lambda^N + w_1 \lambda^{N-1} + \cdots + w_N\right) e^{\xi_r(\lambda)}, \tag{5.2}$$

with $w_n = w_n(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ being unknown functions. Here we set $\xi_r(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \xi(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda) + ry + r\eta(\check{\boldsymbol{y}}, \lambda)$ for any constant $r \in \mathbb{C}$ (cf. (2.11),(4.1)).

We define the $N \times N$ matrix

$$\Xi_N = \left(\boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}^{(N-1)}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{f}^{(i)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \partial_x^i \boldsymbol{f}$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}$ denote the N-column vector ${}^t(f_1, \ldots, f_N)$ and

$$f_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} e^{\xi_{r_i}(\beta_i)} + c_i e^{\xi_{r_i}(\alpha_i)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Note that, for any constant solution $\{\alpha_i, c_i\}$ indicated above, we clearly have $\det \Xi_N \not\equiv 0$.

Theorem 3 *Let* $\{\alpha_i, \zeta_i, c_i, r_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, N)\}$ *be a given set of data described above. Suppose we have* $\det \Xi_N \not\equiv 0$. *Then the condition*

$$\Psi(\beta_i, r_i) + c_i \Psi(\alpha_i, r_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, N) \tag{5.4}$$

uniquely determine the function $\Psi(\lambda, r)$ *of the form in (5.2), and* $\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi(\lambda, r)$ *solves equations (3.10). Explicitly, we have the following expressions*

$$w_{N-k} = -\frac{\det\left(\boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}^{(k-1)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(N)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(k+1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}^{(N-1)}\right)}{\det\left(\boldsymbol{f}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}^{(k-1)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{f}^{(k+1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}^{(N-1)}\right)} \quad (k = 1, \ldots, N). \tag{5.5}$$

In particular, the corresponding τ *function is given by the formula*

$$\tau = \det \Xi_N. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Using the obvious differential equations

$$\partial_x^n e^{\xi_r(\alpha_i)} = \alpha_i^n e^{\xi_r(\alpha_i)}, \quad \partial_x^n e^{\xi_r(\beta_i)} = \beta_i^n e^{\xi_r(\beta_i)},$$

the condition (5.4) can be written in the following form

$$W_N \boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{5.7}$$

where by W_N we denote the differential operator

$$W_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_x^N + w_1 \partial_x^{N-1} + \cdots + w_N. \tag{5.8}$$

Since $\det \Xi_N \not\equiv 0$, we can solve equation (5.7) by Cramer's formula, to obtain expression (5.5).

To show the function $\Psi(\lambda, r)$ satisfy (3.9), we shall show that the PsDO defined by $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_N \partial_x^{-N}$ solves equations (3.4) and (3.5).

It suffices to show equation (3.4) for *some* differential operator B_n in x, since differential operator B_n is uniquely determined by the evolution equation itself. Differentiating (5.7) with respect to x_n and using $\partial_{x_n} \boldsymbol{f} = \partial_x^n \boldsymbol{f}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial x_n} + W_N \partial_x^n \right) \boldsymbol{f} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{5.9}$$

There exist differential operators B_n and R in x such that

$$\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial x_n} + W_N \partial_x^n = B_n W_N + R, \quad \operatorname*{ord}_{\partial_x}(R) \leq N - 1. \tag{5.10}$$

¿From (5.7) and (5.9) we have

$$R\boldsymbol{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, N).$$

This implies $R = 0$ since $\det \Xi_N \not\equiv 0$. Hence by multiplying ∂_x^{-N} from the right to equation (5.10) with $R = 0$, we obtain (3.4).

Now we recall $\alpha_i^\ell = \beta_i^\ell$. Since the dependence of f_i on $x_{n\ell}$ comes only through the overall factor $\exp\left(\alpha_i^{n\ell} x_{n\ell}\right) = \exp\left(\beta_i^{n\ell} x_{n\ell}\right)$, the expression (5.5) implies

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{n\ell}} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2 \ldots).$$

Hence from (3.4) $W \partial_x^{n\ell} W^{-1}$ is a differential operator, namely we have

$$W \partial_x^{n\ell} W^{-1} = W_N \partial_x^{n\ell} W_N^{-1} = P^n, \tag{5.11}$$

where P denotes the differential operator $W \partial_x^\ell W^{-1}$.

Next we prove (3.5), the evolution equation of W with respect to $y_{n\ell}$. Using (5.1) and (5.3) one has the equations

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_{y_{n\ell}} \boldsymbol{f} = \left(\partial_x^{n\ell} \partial_y - \Phi \right) \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \\ \text{where} \quad \Phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{diag}(\partial_y(\alpha_1^{n\ell}), \ldots, \partial_y(\alpha_N^{n\ell})).\end{gathered}$$

In view of these equations, the differentiation of (5.7) with respect to $y_{n\ell}$ yields

$$\left(\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y_{n\ell}} + W_N \partial_x^{n\ell} \partial_y - W_N \Phi \right) \boldsymbol{f} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{5.12}$$

We use (5.11) to rewrite the operator $W_N\partial_y\partial_x^{n\ell}$ as follows

$$W_N\partial_y\partial_x^{n\ell} = W_N\partial_x^{n\ell}W_N^{-1}W_N\partial_y = P^nW_N\partial_y = P^n\left(-\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y} + \partial_y \circ W_N\right) \tag{5.13}$$

By virtue of (5.13), $W_N\Phi = \Phi W_N$ and (5.7), we can rewrite (5.12) as

$$\left(\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y_{n\ell}} - P^n\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y}\right)\boldsymbol{f} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{5.14}$$

Note that the operator in the left hand side of (5.14) is an *ordinary* differential operator, which does not include ∂_y. So we can apply exactly the same argument above to get the unique differential operator $C_{n\ell}$ in x such that

$$\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y_{n\ell}} - P^n\frac{\partial W_N}{\partial y} = C_{n\ell}W_N.$$

This completes the proof of (3.5). To see the formula (5.6) is valid, we only have to notice the following identity arising from (4.3) and (3.1):

$$w_1 = -\partial_x \log\tau. \tag{5.15}$$

Q.E.D.

5.2 N-soliton solutions

If $\alpha_i, c_i (i = 1, \dots, N)$ in the preceding subsection are constants, namely do not depend on $\boldsymbol{y}$, then the solution of Wronskian type has clear representation-theoretical meaning. In fact, we shall see that, up to an irrelevant factor, $\tau_N^W \overset{\text{def}}{=} \det \Xi_N$ coincide with the following *N-soliton solution*

$$\tau_N^S \overset{\text{def}}{=} \big(1 + a_NX(\alpha_N, \beta_N)V_{m_N}(\alpha_N^\ell)\big)\cdots\big(1 + a_1X(\alpha_1, \beta_1)V_{m_1}(\alpha_1^\ell)\big)\,\mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{tor}}, \tag{5.16}$$

where a_i are constants we shall specify later. To be rigorous, we have to assume $|\alpha_N| > \cdots > |\alpha_1|$ so that the series converges. The fact that τ_N^S is a solution can be readily observed in view of the next lemma (cf. Lemma 3).

Lemma 7 *Let $\zeta^\ell = 1, \zeta \neq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then on the representation $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{tor}}, \pi_{\ell,\mathcal{B}}^{\text{tor}})$ we have*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E_n^\zeta t^m\lambda^{-n} \mapsto \frac{1}{1-\zeta}\Big(X(\lambda, \zeta\lambda) - 1\Big)V_m(\lambda^\ell). \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Let us put

$$\Gamma(\lambda) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} E_n^\zeta t^m \cdot \lambda^{-n} + \frac{1}{1-\zeta}K_m^s(\lambda^\ell). \tag{5.18}$$

Then we have

$$[\phi^*_k, \Gamma(\lambda)] \;=\; m\lambda^{k\ell}\Gamma(\lambda) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.19}$$

and

$$[\Lambda_k, \Gamma(\lambda)] \;=\; (1-\zeta^k)\lambda^k\Gamma(\lambda) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.20}$$

(5.19) is a easy consequence of (2.8), and (5.20) follows from the following relation, which can be directly verified

$$[\Lambda_k, E^\zeta_n t^m] = (1-\zeta^k)\Big(E^\zeta_{n+k}t^m + \frac{\delta^{[\ell]}_{n+k,0}}{1-\zeta}\overline{s^{(n+k)/\ell}t^m d\log s}\Big) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.21}$$

where $\delta^{[\ell]}_{m,n} = 1$ if $m \equiv n \mod \ell$ and 0 otherwise.

By virtue of a standard lemma on vertex operators (see e.g. [18] Lemma 14.5), we have the conclusion that $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is realized on $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ by

$$c_{\zeta,m}X(\lambda,\zeta\lambda)V_m(\lambda^\ell), \quad c_{\zeta,m} \text{ is a constant.} \tag{5.22}$$

To determine the constant $c_{\zeta,m}$, we notice that $E^\zeta_0 t^m \mathbf{vac}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. To see this, we only need $E^\zeta_0 \mathbf{vac}_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$, which is obvious from (2.7), (2.5), and the description of the representation in lemma 1. Now it is immediate to see $c_{\zeta,m} = (1-\zeta)^{-1}$, and the proof completes. *Q.E.D.*

If we define the following matrices

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathrm{diag}(e^{\xi(\alpha_1)}, \dots, e^{\xi(\alpha_N)}), \quad C = \mathrm{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_N), \quad V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\alpha_i^{j-1})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

then $\Xi_N = E_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}V_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + CE_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and hence we have

$$\det \Xi_N = \det E_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \det V_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \det(1 + D\Gamma), \quad D \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} CE_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}E_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}, \quad \Gamma \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}V_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}. \tag{5.23}$$

For any matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ and any subset J of $\{1,2,\dots,N\}$, we define the principal submatrix $X_J = (x_{jj'})_{j,j' \in J}$. We shall use the well-known formula

$$\det(1+X) = \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,N\}} \det X_J, \quad J \text{ runs over all the subsets of } \{1,2,\dots,N\}. \tag{5.24}$$

Lemma 8 *Let* $\Gamma \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} V_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}V_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1} = (\gamma_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$. *Then we have*

$$\gamma_{ij} = \frac{\prod_{k(\neq j)}(\alpha_i - \beta_k)}{\prod_{k(\neq j)}(\beta_j - \beta_k)} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\det(\Gamma_J)}{\prod_{j\in J}\gamma_{jj}} = \prod_{j,j' \in J, j<j'} \frac{(\alpha_j - \alpha_{j'})(\beta_j - \beta_{j'})}{(\alpha_j - \beta_{j'})(\beta_j - \alpha_{j'})} \quad \textit{for}\, \forall J \subset I.$$

Combining the formulas (5.23),(5.24), and the above lemma (cf. [25]), we have

$$\tau^W_N = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_{m_i}(\beta_i)}\Delta(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \sum_{J \subset I}\Big(\prod_{j\in J} c_j\gamma_{jj}\Big) \prod_{\substack{j,j' \in J \\ j<j'}} \frac{(\alpha_j - \alpha_{j'})(\beta_j - \beta_{j'})}{(\alpha_j - \beta_{j'})(\beta_j - \alpha_{j'})} e^{\sum_{j\in J}(\xi_{m_j}(\alpha_j) - \xi_{m_j}(\beta_j))},$$

where $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ denotes Vandermonde's determinant $\det V_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \prod_{i>j}(\beta_i - \beta_j)$. Here we also note that τ^S_N does not depend on $y^*_{n\ell}$ from the construction. So if we set $a_i = c_j\gamma_{jj}$, we can see that τ^W_N is equal to τ^S_N times an irrelevant factor $e^{\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_{m_i}(\beta_i)}\Delta(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

6 Conclusion

Inspired by the work of Billig and Iohara et al., we have introduced the ℓ-Bogoyavlensky hierarchy and clarified its group-theoretic properties, Lax formalism, and special solutions. The group-theoretic characterization of the new hierarchy is based on the 2-toroidal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell^{\mathrm{tor}}$. We have constructed a representation of this Lie algebra, and derived a system of Hirota bilinear equations for the τ function. The point of departure of the Lax formalism is the observation that the lowest two members of the Hirota bilinear equations of Billig and Iohara et al. are equivalent to Bogoyavlensky's $2+1$ dimensional extension of the KdV equation. Bearing this in mind, we have constructed a system of Lax equations, from which we have been able to reproduce the Hirota bilinear equations. The Lax formalism has also turned out to be useful for understanding special solutions.

Several interesting problems remain open. Firstly, the present construction should be extended to the toroidal Lie algebras associated with simple Lie algebras other than $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell$. This will give an extension of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies [12] in the spirit of Bogoyavlensky. The same problem can also be raised to another important family of soliton equations, namely those represented by the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Strachan's work [29] is remarkable in this respect. He presented a $2+1$-dimensional extension and an associated hierarchy of higher equations for soliton equations of this type. Lastly, we would like to mention the problem of constructing special solutions of the algebro-geometric ("finite-band") type. A precursor of this problem is Cherednik's work [6], in which he presented a construction of algebro-geometric solutions to the self-dual Yang-Mills equation. Since our hierarchy is closely related to the self-dual Yang-Mills equation, a similar construction of special solutions will be possible.

7 Appendix

We give a list of the Hirota equation of low degree contained in the hierarchy for $\ell = 2$. Since we have $P(D)f \cdot f = 0$ for any function f and polynomial P such that $P(-D) = -P(D)$, we shall list below only the even polynomials in D. We also drop the terms including $D_{x_2}, D_{x_4}, \ldots$. We shall use the abbreviated notation D_n and Q_n for D_{x_n} and D_{y_n} respectively.

degree 3	$D_1^3Q_0 + 2D_3Q_0 - 6D_1Q_2$
degree 4	$D_1^4 - 4D_1D_4$
degree 5	$(D_1^5 + 20D_1^2D_3 + 24D_5)\,Q_0 - 120D_1Q_4$
	$(D_1^5 + 20D_1^2D_3 + 24D_5)\,Q_0 - (20D_1^3 + 40D_3)\,Q_2$
	$(D_1^5 - 10D_1^2D_3 + 24D_5)\,Q_0 + (10D_1^3 - 40D_3)\,Q_2$
degree 6	$(D_1^6 - 20D_3^2 + 10D_1^3D_3 - 36D_1D_5)\,Q_0^2 - 30\,(D_1^4 - 4D_3D_1)\,Q_0Q_2$
	$D_1^6 - 32D_3^2 + 4D_1^3D_3$
	$D_1^6 - 80D_3^2 - 20D_1^3D_3 + 144D_1D_5$
degree 7	$(D_1^7 + 720D_7 + 70D_1^4D_3 + 280D_3^2D_1 + 504D_5D_1^2)\,Q_0$ $- (420D_1^2D_3 + 21D_1^5 + 504D_5)\,Q_2 - (420D_1^3 + 840D_3)\,Q_4$
	$(3D_1^7 - 168D_5D_1^2 + 480D_7)\,Q_0 - (1120D_3 - 280D_1^3)\,Q_4$
	$(3D_1^7 - 168D_5D_1^2 + 480D_7)\,Q_0 + (280D_1^2D_3 - 28D_1^5 - 672D_5)\,Q_2$
	$(5D_1^7 - 1440D_7 - 70D_1^4D_3 + 560D_3^2D_1)\,Q_0 - (126D_1^5 - 2016D_5)\,Q_2$
	$(D_1^7 + 720D_7 + 70D_1^4D_3 + 280D_3^2D_1 + 504D_5D_1^2)\,Q_0$ $- (840D_1^2D_3 + 42D_1^5 + 1008D_5)\,Q_2$
	$(D_1^7 + 720D_7 + 70D_1^4D_3 + 280D_3^2D_1 + 504D_5D_1^2)\,Q_0 - 5040D_1Q_6$
	$(D_1^7 + 720D_7 + 70D_1^4D_3 + 280D_3^2D_1 + 504D_5D_1^2)\,Q_0^3 - 5040D_1Q_2^3$ $- (2520D_1^2D_3 + 126D_1^5 + 3024D_5)\,Q_0^2Q_2 + (2520D_1^3 + 5040D_3)\,Q_0Q_2^2$

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Koji Hasegawa, Kenji Iohara, Tetsuya Kikuchi, Gen Kuroki, Takashi Takebe, Yoshihisa Saito, Ryuichi Sawae, and Takahiro Shiota for fruitful discussions. This work was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 10640165) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

References

[1] Y. Billig, “Principal vertex operator representations for toroidal Lie algebras”, J. Math. Phys. **39**(1998), no. 7, 3844-3864.

[2] Y. Billig, “An extension of the KdV hierarchy arising from a representation of a toroidal Lie algebra”, J. Algebra **217**(1999), no.1, 40-64.

[3] S. Berman and Y. Billig, “Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie algebras”, J. Algebra **221**(1999), no.1, 188-231.

[4] O.I. Bogoyavlenskii, “Breaking solitons in 2 + 1-dimensional integrable equations”, Russian Math. Surveys **45**:4 (1990), 1-86.

[5] F. Calogero, “A method to generate solvable nonlinear evolution equations”, Lettere al Nuovo Cimento **14**, N. 12 (1975), 443-447.

[6] I.V. Cherednik, “Finite-band solutions to the duality equations on S^4 and two-dimensional relativistically invariant systems”, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **24** (1979), 356-358.

[7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, and T. Miwa, "Transformation groups for soliton equations", in Proceedings of RIMS symposium (ed. M.Jimbo, T.Miwa) 39-120, World Sci., 1983.

[8] E. Date, "On a direct method of constructing multisoliton solutions", Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 55 (1979), no. 1, 27-30.

[9] E. Date, "On a construction of multisoliton solutions of the Pohlmeyer-Lund-Regge system and the classical massive Thirring model", Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 55 (1979), no. 8, 278-281.

[10] E. Date, "Multisoliton solutions and quasiperiodic solutions of nonlinear equations of sine-Gordon type", Osaka J. Math. 19 (1982), no. 1, 125-158.

[11] B.A. Dubrovin, V.B. Matveev, and S.P. Novikov, "Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-band linear operators and Abelian varieties", Russian Math. Surveys **31** (1976), no. 1, 59-146.

[12] V.G. Drinfel'd and V.V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, Itogi Nauki i Techniki **24** (1984) 81-180, English translation: J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985), 1975-2035.

[13] S. Eswara Rao and R.V. Moody, "Vertex representations for N-toroidal Lie algebras and a generalization of the Virasoro algebra", Commun. Math. Phys. **159** (1994) 239-264

[14] K. Iohara, Y. Saito, and M. Wakimoto, "Hirota bilinear forms with 2-toroidal symmetry", Phys. Lett. A **254**(1999), 37-46.

[15] K. Iohara, Y. Saito, and M. Wakimoto, "Notes on differential equations arising from a representation of 2-toroidal Lie algebras", Progr. of Theor. Phys. Suppl.**135**(1999), 166-181.

[16] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and E. Date, *Solitons*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 135(Cambridge University Press 2000).

[17] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, "Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations" Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **49** (1989) 191-237.

[18] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge 1990).

[19] M. Kashiwara, lectures at Hiroshima University in May 1981.

[20] C. Kassel, "Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over commutative algebras", J. Pure Appl. Algebra **34** (1985) 265-275.

[21] I.M. Krichever, "The integration of non-linear equations by method of algebraic geometry", Funct. Anal. Appl. **11** (1977), 12-26.

[22] Y.I. Manin, "Algebraic aspects of nonlinear differential equations" J. Soviet Math. **11** (1979),1-122.

[23] L.J. Mason and G.A.J. Sparling, Nonlinear Schrödinger and Korteweg-de Vries are reductions of selfdual Yang-Mills, Phys. Lett. **A137** (1989), 29-33; Twister Correspondence for the soliton hierarchies, J. Geom. Phys. **8** (1992), 243-271.

[24] R.V. Moody, S. Eswara Rao, and T. Yokonuma, "Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations", Geometriae Dedicata **35** (1990) 283-307.

[25] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on Theta II*, Progress in Mathematics; vol. 43 (Birkhauser, 1984).

[26] M. Sato and Y. Sato, "Soliton equations as dynamical systems in an infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold", in: P.D. Lax, H. Fujita, and G. Strang (eds.), *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Sciences* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1982).

[27] J. Schiff, "Integrability of Chern-Simons-Higgs vortex equations and a reduction of the self-dual Yang-Mills equations to three dimensions", in: *Painlevé Transcendents*, ed. D. Levi and P. Winternitz, NATO ASI Series B, vol. 278 (Plenum Press, New York, 1992)

[28] G. Segal and G. Wilson, "Loop groups and equations of KdV type", Publ. IHES **61** (1985), 5-65.

[29] I.A.B. Strachan, "A new family of integrable models in $(2+1)$ dimensions associated with Hermitian symmetric spaces", J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992), 2477-2482; "Some integrable hierarchies in $(2+1)$ dimensions and their twistor description, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 243-259.

[30] Yu Song-Ju, K. Toda, N. Sasa, and T. Fukuyama, "N Soliton Solutions to The Bogoyavlenskii-Schiff Equation and A Quest for The Soliton Solution in $(3+1)$", Journal of Physics A 31 (1998), 3337-3347.

[31] K. Toda, Yu Song-Ju, and T. Fukuyama, "The Bogoyavlenskii -Schiff Hierarchy and Integrable Equations in (2+1) Dimensions", Rep. Math. Phys. **44** (1999), 247-254.

[32] R. Wilson, "Euclidean Lie algebras are universal central extensions" Lie algebras and related topics, Lecture Notes in Math. **933**, 210-213, Springer-Verlag, 1982.